HiLL PUBLISHING

Economic Perspectives and Trends, 2025, 2(1), 39-43
https://www.hillpublisher.com/Journals/ept/
ISSN Online: 3066-3180

Comparative Study on Global Sustainable Strategies in Fast Fashion Operations

Yingjie Zuo

Beijing New7 E-commerce Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing 100040, China.

How to cite this paper: Yingjie Zuo. (2025) Comparative Study on Global Sustainable Strategies in Fast Fashion Operations. *Economic Perspectives and Trends*, 2(1), 39-43. DOI: 10.26855/ept.2025.06.007

Received: August 12, 2025
Accepted: September 3, 2025
Published: October 16, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Yingjie Zuo, Beijing New7 E-commerce Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing 100040, China.

Abstract

The fast fashion industry has experienced rapid growth in the globalization process, yet issues such as overproduction, resource waste, and environmental pollution have become increasingly prominent, making sustainability a global imperative. This study adopts an international comparative perspective to examine sustainable operational strategies in the fast fashion industry across different countries and regions. By analyzing policy frameworks, corporate innovations, and consumer behavior, the research reveals distinct approaches: European countries drive transformation through stringent regulations and recycling systems, the North American market relies more on corporate innovation and consumer education, while Asia combines government guidance with technological advancements. Emerging markets, constrained by infrastructure limitations, often adopt localized solutions. The study further explores the strengths and challenges of these strategies, proposing that policy coordination, technological innovation, and shifts in consumption patterns are critical for future development. This research provides cross-regional insights for achieving sustainability in the fast fashion industry and offers theoretical support for policymakers and corporate leaders in optimizing strategic decisions.

Keywords

Fast fashion; Sustainable development; International comparison; Operational strategy; Policy framework; Circular economy

1. Introduction

The fast fashion industry has expanded rapidly worldwide by quickly responding to trends and offering affordable products, but its high-consumption, high-pollution model has raised significant environmental and social concerns. Issues such as resource depletion and labor rights violations in supply chains have drawn widespread criticism. Against this backdrop, transitioning the fast fashion industry toward sustainability has become a shared goal for governments, corporations, and consumers globally. However, due to differences in economic conditions, policy systems, and cultural contexts, regions have adopted varied sustainable operational strategies with differing levels of effectiveness and adaptability.

Existing research has primarily focused on case studies of individual countries or companies, lacking a systematic comparison of regional strategies. This paper aims to address this gap by comparing policy frameworks, corporate practices, and consumer engagement in Europe, North America, Asia, and emerging markets. Key research questions include: What are the primary drivers of sustainable strategies in different regions? How do policy interventions and market mechanisms interact? What are the implications of regional differences for global sustainability in fast fashion?

By addressing these questions, this study provides theoretical foundations and practical insights for exploring more effective pathways for industry transformation.

2. Challenges in Achieving Sustainability in the Fast Fashion Industry

The fast fashion industry faces multifaceted and deeply entrenched challenges in its pursuit of sustainability, many of which stem from its fundamental business model. At the core lies the tension between rapid production cycles and environmental responsibility. The industry thrives on speed, constantly churning out new designs to meet ever-changing consumer demands, yet this relentless pace exacerbates resource depletion and waste generation. Textile production consumes vast amounts of water and energy, while synthetic fabrics contribute to microplastic pollution and long-term environmental degradation (Li, 2024). The shift toward eco-friendly materials, though growing, remains hampered by scalability issues, cost barriers, and the lack of globally standardized alternatives that can match the affordability and versatility of conventional textiles.

Another critical challenge is the industry's complex and opaque supply chains, which span multiple countries with varying regulatory and labor standards. While some brands have committed to ethical sourcing, enforcing sustainability and fair labor practices across decentralized production networks remains a daunting task (Zhu, 2023). Many suppliers in developing nations, pressured by tight margins and short lead times, resort to cost-cutting measures that undermine worker welfare and environmental safeguards. This systemic issue is compounded by the lack of traceability in supply chains, making it difficult for brands and consumers to verify claims of sustainability. Without stronger global accountability mechanisms and transparency initiatives, progress in ethical sourcing may remain superficial.

Consumer behavior presents yet another hurdle. Despite growing awareness of sustainability issues, the allure of cheap, trend-driven clothing continues to fuel overconsumption. Fast fashion's success hinges on the psychological appeal of frequent purchases, fostering a disposable mindset where garments are discarded after minimal use (Ge, 2025). While resale, rental, and recycling programs have emerged as potential solutions, their adoption is still limited by logistical challenges, consumer habits, and the inherent design of fast fashion garments, which often lack durability or recyclability. Moreover, the prevalence of greenwashing—where brands exaggerate their sustainability efforts—further confuses consumers, diluting trust and hindering meaningful behavioral change.

Finally, the absence of harmonized global policies creates an uneven playing field where sustainability leaders face competitive disadvantages against less regulated rivals. Regional disparities in environmental regulations, labor laws, and enforcement mechanisms allow some companies to prioritize short-term profits over long-term sustainability. Without cohesive international frameworks, voluntary corporate initiatives may lack the scale and urgency needed to drive industry-wide transformation. The fast fashion industry's sustainability challenges are thus not merely technical or economic but deeply rooted in structural, cultural, and systemic barriers that demand collaborative and innovative solutions.

3. Comparative Analysis of International Sustainable Operations Strategies in the Fast Fashion Industry

The fast fashion industry's approach to sustainability varies significantly across regions, shaped by differing regulatory landscapes, consumer expectations, and corporate philosophies. In Europe, sustainability strategies tend to be more aggressive, driven by stringent environmental regulations and a consumer base that prioritizes ethical consumption. The European Union's Circular Economy Action Plan, for instance, has pushed brands toward extended producer responsibility (EPR), mandatory textile recycling schemes, and stricter chemical regulations. European fast fashion companies like H&M and Zara have responded by investing heavily in closed-loop recycling systems, eco-conscious material innovations, and in-store garment collection programs (Gao, 2024). The emphasis here is on systemic change—integrating sustainability into every stage of the supply chain, from design to disposal—rather than isolated initiatives. However, despite these efforts, critics argue that European brands still struggle with overproduction and the fundamental contradiction between fast fashion's business model and true circularity.

In contrast, American fast fashion brands often adopt a more market-driven approach, where sustainability initiatives are frequently tied to branding and consumer demand rather than regulatory compulsion (Mou, 2025). Companies like Everlane and Reformation have carved out niches by marketing transparency and ethical sourcing, leveraging storytelling to appeal to conscious consumers. However, larger players such as Shein and Fashion Nova remain

heavily focused on ultra-fast production cycles with minimal emphasis on environmental or labor reforms. The U.S. lacks comprehensive federal legislation on textile waste, leading to a fragmented landscape where voluntary corporate actions dominate. Some brands have experimented with resale platforms and rental services to extend garment lifecycles, but these efforts remain secondary to the industry's primary goal of rapid, high-volume sales. The absence of unified policy frameworks means progress is inconsistent, often relying on consumer pressure rather than institutionalized accountability.

Meanwhile, Asian markets present a unique blend of challenges and innovations. China, as both a manufacturing hub and a rapidly growing consumer market, has seen a dual push toward sustainable production—partly due to increasing government scrutiny on pollution—while still catering to domestic demand for ultra-affordable, trend-driven fashion (Jiang, 2025). Brands like Uniqlo (Japan) have taken a pragmatic approach, focusing on durable, timeless designs and advanced fabric technologies like recycled polyester and heat-retentive materials. In contrast, emerging fast fashion giants from China and South Asia, such as Shein and Boohoo, prioritize speed and cost-efficiency, often at the expense of sustainability. However, regional shifts are underway, with governments in countries like Bangladesh and Vietnam facing international pressure to improve factory conditions and reduce textile waste. Some manufacturers are beginning to adopt renewable energy and water-saving techniques, though these changes are often incremental rather than transformative.

Globally, a few unifying trends are emerging. Cross-industry collaborations, such as the Fashion Pact and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition, signal a growing recognition that systemic issues like carbon emissions and textile waste require collective action (Zheng & Wang, 2025). Technological advancements—such as AI-driven inventory management to reduce overproduction, blockchain for supply chain transparency, and biofabricated materials—are being tested across markets, though their scalability remains uneven. Yet, the effectiveness of these strategies ultimately hinges on whether the industry can reconcile its profit-driven, high-turnover model with genuine ecological and social responsibility. Without stronger regulatory enforcement, consumer behavior shifts, and corporate willingness to prioritize long-term sustainability over short-term gains, even the most innovative strategies risk remaining marginal rather than mainstream. The global fast fashion industry thus stands at a crossroads, where regional differences in strategy highlight both the potential for progress and the persistent barriers to meaningful change.

4. Key Comparative Dimensions and Evaluation Frameworks for Sustainable Fashion Strategies

Evaluating the sustainability performance of fast fashion companies across different regions requires a multidimensional framework that accounts for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors, as well as the underlying business models and consumer behaviors shaping these strategies. One of the most critical comparative dimensions is regulatory compliance and policy influence, which varies dramatically by geography (Yang & Bu, 2025). In Europe, strict legislation such as the EU's Sustainable Products Initiative and the Digital Product Passport mandate transparency and extended producer responsibility, forcing companies to adopt circular design principles and waste reduction measures. In contrast, the U.S. lacks comparable federal regulations, leading to a patchwork of voluntary corporate commitments that often prioritize marketing over measurable impact. Meanwhile, in manufacturing-heavy regions like Bangladesh and Vietnam, compliance tends to focus more on labor conditions and factory emissions rather than end-to-end sustainability, reflecting the outsized influence of international buyers and NGOs rather than local policy mandates.

Another essential dimension is material innovation and supply chain transparency, where leading brands differentiate themselves through investments in eco-friendly fabrics, closed-loop recycling, and traceable sourcing. European players like H&M and Inditex have pioneered the use of organic cotton, recycled polyester, and even experimental materials like mycelium leather, backed by public sustainability reports and third-party certifications. American brands, while increasingly adopting similar materials, often lack the same level of supply chain visibility, partly due to weaker disclosure requirements and a greater reliance on outsourced production in low-cost regions. Meanwhile, Asian manufacturers—though making strides in energy-efficient production—still face challenges in scaling sustainable materials due to cost constraints and the dominance of high-volume, low-margin business models. The credibility of these efforts can be assessed through indices such as the Higg Materials Sustainability Index and adherence to standards like the Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS), which provide benchmarks for comparing material sustainability across brands.

Labor ethics and social impact remain one of the most contentious dimensions, particularly given fast fashion's historical ties to exploitative labor practices. European brands, under pressure from legislation like the German

Supply Chain Due Diligence Act, have been pushed toward greater accountability, conducting regular factory audits and partnering with labor rights organizations. However, enforcement gaps persist, especially in subcontracting layers hidden deep in the supply chain. In the U.S., labor conditions are less scrutinized at the federal level, with brands often relying on self-reported codes of conduct rather than independent monitoring. In contrast, Asian production hubs have seen incremental improvements—such as Bangladesh's Accord on Fire and Building Safety—but systemic issues like wage theft and unsafe conditions persist, highlighting the need for binding agreements rather than voluntary pledges. Evaluating this dimension requires examining worker welfare initiatives, wage transparency, and the presence of collective bargaining mechanisms, alongside third-party assessments from groups like the Fair Wear Foundation.

Finally, consumer engagement and behavioral influence play a pivotal role in determining the real-world impact of sustainability strategies. European consumers, aided by robust eco-labeling systems and cultural shifts toward minimalism, have pushed brands to prioritize durability and second-hand markets. In the U.S., where fast fashion consumption remains high, brands have experimented with rental services and resale platforms, though these often serve as supplementary revenue streams rather than replacements for overproduction. In Asia, where price sensitivity dominates, educational campaigns and incentives for recycling are slowly gaining traction, but the sheer scale of disposable fashion demand undermines progress. Metrics such as the percentage of recycled materials used, consumer participation in take-back programs, and shifts in purchasing trends toward "slow fashion" alternatives provide tangible ways to gauge effectiveness.

Ultimately, a comprehensive evaluation framework must integrate quantitative metrics (e.g., carbon footprint per garment, water usage, waste diversion rates) with qualitative assessments (e.g., supply chain transparency, labor rights enforcement, consumer trust). Tools like the Fashion Transparency Index and Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) offer standardized ways to compare brands, but the industry's fragmentation means regional contexts must be weighed carefully. True progress will depend not just on isolated innovations, but on whether these disparate strategies can coalesce into a unified movement toward degrowth and ethical consumption—challenging the very foundations of fast fashion itself.

5. Case Study: H&M's Sustainability Strategy in Europe – Progress and Paradoxes

H&M, one of the world's largest fast fashion retailers, has positioned itself as a sustainability leader in Europe, making bold claims about circular fashion, carbon neutrality, and ethical labor practices. However, a closer examination of its initiatives reveals both notable advancements and persistent contradictions that highlight the challenges of aligning fast fashion with true sustainability.

The company's material innovation efforts serve as a key example of its ambition. H&M has heavily invested in recycled textiles, with its Conscious Collection featuring garments made from post-consumer waste, organic cotton, and even plant-based alternatives like Tencel and Piñatex (a leather substitute derived from pineapple fibers). The brand has also set aggressive targets, such as sourcing 100% recycled or sustainably produced materials by 2030—a goal that outpaces many competitors, including Zara and Shein. However, critics argue that these efforts are undermined by H&M's continued reliance on high-volume production and ultra-fast trend cycles. Despite using recycled polyester in some lines, the company still releases thousands of new styles each year, many of which end up in landfills or incinerators due to overproduction. The use of blended fabrics, which are difficult to recycle, further limits the effectiveness of its circularity claims, demonstrating the tension between sustainable rhetoric and fast fashion's growth-driven model.

Another critical area is supply chain transparency, where H&M has made strides but still faces scrutiny. The company publishes an annual Sustainability Report and discloses its Tier 1 supplier list—a practice increasingly expected by European regulators and conscious consumers. It has also partnered with blockchain startups to pilot traceability systems for cotton and recycled materials. However, deeper into the supply chain, opacity remains. Investigations by watchdog groups like the Clean Clothes Campaign have found that H&M's subcontractors in Bangladesh and Cambodia still engage in wage suppression and unsafe working conditions, despite the brand's membership in the Fair Wear Foundation. The disconnect between corporate pledges and on-the-ground realities underscores the difficulty of enforcing ethical standards across complex, multi-tiered production networks, particularly when cost pressures persist.

H&M's consumer engagement strategies further illustrate the paradoxes in its approach. The brand has launched garment collection bins in stores, offering discounts in exchange for old clothes—an initiative that has diverted

millions of garments from landfills. It has also experimented with rental and resale models in select European markets, signaling a shift toward alternative consumption patterns. Yet, critics argue that these programs serve more as marketing tools than systemic solutions. The take-back scheme, for instance, recycles only a fraction of collected textiles into new clothing (most is downcycled into insulation or rags), and the resale platform's inventory remains dwarfed by the brand's primary sales of new, low-priced items. Meanwhile, H&M's aggressive discounting and frequent "limited edition" drops continue to fuel impulse buying, contradicting its messaging about mindful consumption.

The broader implications of H&M's strategy reveal a fundamental tension: can fast fashion ever truly be sustainable? While the company's investments in green materials and transparency set a benchmark for the industry, its business model still depends on constant consumption and rapid turnover—a structural hurdle that no amount of incremental eco-innovation can fully overcome. Even as H&M experiments with circularity, its annual production volume continues to grow, raising questions about whether sustainability in fast fashion is an oxymoron. The case of H&M thus serves as a microcosm of the sector's wider dilemma: progress is possible, but without radical changes to overproduction and hyper-consumption, even the most ambitious initiatives risk being overshadowed by the industry's inherent wastefulness.

6. Conclusion

Through an international comparison of sustainable operational strategies in the fast fashion industry, this study highlights the distinct approaches and challenges across regions. Europe's stringent regulatory model, North America's market-driven path, and Asia's government-business collaboration each demonstrate unique advantages, yet face implementation hurdles, technological barriers, or resource constraints. The findings suggest that achieving sustainability in fast fashion requires multi-dimensional coordination, including policy guidance, technological innovation, and shifts in consumer behavior. Moving forward, the industry must strengthen transnational cooperation, promote standardization and resource sharing, and enhance infrastructure in emerging markets to facilitate broader sustainable transformation.

References

Gao, Y. (2024). Research on the competitive strategy of S Fast Fashion Company in the U.S. market (Master's thesis). Shandong University.

Ge, X. (2025). Analysis of marketing strategies for textile and apparel brands in the context of the fast fashion trend. *Textile Reports, 44*(8), 22-24.

Jiang, Y. (2025). Research on the evaluation model of supply chain resilience in cross-border fast fashion enterprises (Master's thesis). Hangzhou Dianzi University.

Li, L. (2024). Fashion industry as a breakthrough for sustainable consumption. *Journal of Sustainable Development Economics*, *4*, 52-53.

Mou, Y. (2025). Drivers of the environmental footprint and mitigation strategies in the fast fashion industry (Master's thesis). Guangdong University of Technology.

Yang, Y., & Bu, Y. (2025). The private domain traffic operation of fast fashion brands in the new retail era: A case study of Sanfu brand. *Journal of Wuhan Metallurgical Manager's Institute*, *35*(1), 11-15.

Zheng, K., & Wang, J. F. (2025). Digital innovation in the fashion industry from a sustainable development perspective. *Fashion Design and Engineering*, *2*, 64-66.

Zhu, K. M. (2023). Research on the transformation of the fast fashion industry from a sustainable perspective. *Economic Research Guide, 17*, 32-34.