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Abstract

Manual therapy has been a component of physical rehabilitation programs as early as 400 BC, including mobilization/manipulation being a component of physiotherapy practice. However, manual therapy can be a major aspect of the treatment protocols. Despite the growing body of evidence for manual therapy, it is viewed as a complementary therapy in human medicine by many, even though its effectiveness seems well substantiated and the risks are low. The most likely reason for its slow acceptance is that the skill level required to apply these techniques properly is higher than with traditional therapies, such as exercise or electrotherapy. Physiotherapy practitioners’ knowledge and practice of manual therapy are very fundamental in ensuring effective treatment of the patient. This study was designed to determine the level of knowledge and practice of manual therapy among physiotherapy practitioners at the University Teaching Hospital (UTH). Data were collected using a structured questionnaire adapted and modified from previous studies. Data processing and analysis were done using the SPSS version 20.0 for windows. The Chi-square statistical test was used to determine the association of variables and the significance level was set at 0.05. Respondents that took part in the study were 27 which included 18 females (67%) with most participants (74%) having a Bachelor’s degree. The majority of the participants (33%) had 11-15 years of working experience. 59% of physiotherapy practitioners had average knowledge and 82% of the participants practiced manual therapy occasionally. Although the majority of the participants in this study occasionally practiced manual therapy most of them had average knowledge about it and preferred other modalities instead. There is a need for physiotherapy practitioners to improve on the knowledge base of manual therapy.
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1. Introduction

Manual therapy has been a component of physical rehabilitation programs as early as 400 BC, including mobilization/manipulation being a component of physiotherapy practice as well since the inception of the profession [1, 2, 8, 30]. The Guide to Physical Therapy Practice makes it clear that manipulation is within the scope of physiotherapy practice and there is mounting evidence for the use of manipulation in the management of patients with pain in other spinal regions as well as the extremities [3, 4, 12, 16]. Physiotherapists are the best healthcare professionals at
restoring function, and manual therapy can be a major aspect of the treatment protocols [4, 5]. Most manual therapy approaches advocate that a specific Manual Therapy (MT) technique should be selected based on findings from intersegmental mobility assessment and that localization of the technique to a specific spinal level is pivotal to achieving a positive response to treatment [6, 18, 20]. Evidence is also available supporting the safety and effectiveness of manipulation instruction in the first phase of physiotherapy professional education [7, 38, 40]. Despite the growing body of evidence for manual therapy, it is viewed as a complementary therapy in human medicine by many, even though its effectiveness seems well substantiated and the risks are low. The most likely reason for its slow acceptance is that the skill level required to apply these techniques properly is higher than with traditional therapies, such as exercise or electrotherapy. MT is cost-effective in comparison to other commonly provided interventions and is rarely associated with serious complications [8, 9, 41].

2. Methods

2.1. Design

A cross-sectional study utilizing a quantitative research method was used and data were collected using an adapted structured questionnaire tailored and modified from a similar questionnaire used by Bello and Lawson [10]. A total of 27 physiotherapy practitioners who work at the university teaching hospital in the physiotherapy department were recruited. Data were sorted, analyzed and interpreted using descriptive statistical methods and it was displayed in tables, bar charts, histograms and pie charts to show relations and patterns of occurrence. Data were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences software (SPSS) version 20.0 for windows and a chi-square test was used to show associations and a p-value was put on p<0.05. Responses from the questionnaire were converted from nominal to numerical format. The level of knowledge was classified as lacking, minimal, average and adequate while practice will be classified as never, occasionally and regularly.

3. Results

According to Table 1, a greater proportion of the sample, 67% (n=18) was dominated by female participants and the highest percentage of respondents 55% (n=15) was between 31-40 years. The largest number of respondents 33% (n=9) were between 11-15 years of experience in the profession and the statistics also shows that most of the physiotherapy practitioners at UTH are Bachelor of Science holders 67% (n=18), followed by diploma holders 26% (n=7) and a few of them who are Master of Science holders 7% (n=2) and on where they obtained their current qualification, it shows that 60% (n=16) of the physiotherapy practitioners obtained them at the University of Zambia see Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Respondents’ Demographic Characteristics (n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest physiotherapy qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position or rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The institution where obtained the current qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.1. Knowledge and practice of manual therapy

The majority of the respondents have average knowledge regarding the use of manual therapy and they are represented by 59% (n=16) see Figure 1 below.

![Figure 1. Knowledge regarding the use of manual therapy by physiotherapy practitioners.](image)

See Figure 2 below illustrating the rationale used by physiotherapy practitioners before using manual therapy and the largest number shows that 37% (n=10) of the respondents use evidence-based.

![Figure 2. Rationale considered in manual therapy intervention.](image)

The largest number of the respondents represented by 59% (n=16) use Maitland principles while the 11% (n=3) represents the statics of those who use none of the physical assessment techniques before using manual therapy see Figure 3 below:
Therefore, Figure 4 shows the majority of the respondents 82% (n=22) showed that it is used occasionally while the least 7% (n=2) showed that it is used regularly and 11% (n=3) showed that it is never used.

The bar graph below shows that the majority of the respondents treat for 1-4 sessions before recording any improvement with manual therapy and they have been represented by 48% (n=13), see Figure 5 below:

---

Figure 3. Physical assessment technique used before applying manual therapy.

Figure 4. Frequency of manual therapy utilization.

Figure 5. Number of patients who need manual therapy seen in a day.
The majority of the respondents show 48% (n=13) that there is a good outcome while the least of the respondents show 4% (n=1) that there is a poor outcome and 4% (n=1) that there is missing data. Therefore, see Figure 6:

![Figure 6. Treatment outcome after using manual therapy.]

However, Figure 7 below shows the preference of exercise and electrotherapy to manual therapy that is 88.9% (n=24) of the respondents showed that the majority prefers electrotherapy to manual therapy whilst 11% otherwise.

![Figure 7. Preference of exercise and electrotherapy to manual therapy.]

Table 2. Knowledge regarding treating patients using manual therapy * how frequent is a manual therapy used in treating patients who need manual therapy Cross tabulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>knowledge</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Statistical values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>never</td>
<td>occasionally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacking</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adequate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

The current study showed that the majority of the participants had average knowledge about manual therapy and the least statistics showed that the minority lacked knowledge in manual therapy. There are however, gaps in the literature supporting evidence of knowledge among physiotherapy practitioners in manual therapy. The Norwegian Centre for Health Services [21] states that lack of awareness on the clinical implications of evidence-based practice and lack of adherence to principles could lead to failure by physiotherapists to provide evidence of their practice and resultant failure to generate new knowledge on the condition. Similarly, Strutt [23] also found that physical therapists have to be competent in their treatment approach and have to be thorough, knowledgeable and dedicated.

Another study conducted by Peersman et al. [25] investigated patients’ priorities in outpatient physical therapy and found that the physical therapists being experts in their professional field was the most important aspect for patients. Therefore, the knowledge base must become the object of studies that enable the description and systematization of the subject.

Understanding the rationale behind any treatment requires knowledge of its underlying assumptions and supportive evidence. The majority of participants chose manual therapy interventions based on evidence-based practice knowledge followed by those who chose manual therapy based on knowledge acquired through clinical practice experience, and the least number the participants based their rationale intervention on knowledge acquired on postgraduate training. This is similar to the findings by Connolly et al. [27], who carried out a survey on 115 physiotherapists 12 months after graduating from their professional training regarding evidence-based practice and treatment intervention rationale, and found that 77% based their intervention on evidence-based practice. This can further be supported by what Jette et al. [31] stated that the knowledge base of physical therapy should be based on science, supported in practice, and further methodically developed.

According to the findings, a larger response showed that Maitland’s principles are mostly used followed by Mackenzie techniques. Ali [33] however, did not focus on this area on much by researchers however in a study, the most preferred technique for treating frozen shoulder among physiotherapists is Maitland and the least preferred is McKenzie. This maybe due to the reason that the Maitland mobilization is taught mostly from the third to the final year of Bachelor of physiotherapy at the University of Zambia, and it is covered as a part of their curriculum with specific practical hours [14, 26].

Concerning manual therapy utilization, it was found that the majority of participants occasionally utilized manual therapy in treating patients while the minority showed that they regularly use manual therapy. These findings are contrary to the study carried out by Gracey et al. [35] that there is high use of manual therapy by physiotherapists. The scientific basis in professional practice could further be strengthened through enhanced scientific skills and more easily accessible presentation of the research results and in this study. There however is no evidence to conclude that it is used solely or with other modalities but some evidence from the best of some trials confirms the value of some current physiotherapy practices and the ineffectiveness of others [18-23, 32]. Some little-used interventions are remarkably beneficial. Concerning examining our associations between the knowledge and utilization of manual therapy, it seems reasonable, therefore, we assume that what theory and practice have in common is that the value lies in the actual activity and practice itself constitutes a central source of knowledge [9, 10, 16].

Physiotherapists usually give exercise therapy, alone or in combination with other treatments, for example, massage, heat, traction, ultrasound, or short wave diathermy [19, 28, 36]. In contrast, our study showed that the Majority of the participants recorded that they preferred exercise and electrotherapy to manual therapy while the minority preferred manual therapy to exercise and electrotherapy. In a similar study, traditionally, the mainstays of physiotherapy management of musculoskeletal pain have been massage, manual therapy, electrotherapy and therapeutic exercise [4, 6, 8]. The study, therefore, concludes that other modalities are preferred to manual therapy despite evidence showing that all modalities should be utilized.

5. Conclusion

Given the scarcity of research on physiotherapists’ knowledge and practice of manual therapy, it has become critical to develop innovative strategies to close the gap between research and clinical practice. Physiotherapists will be more aware of the importance of manual therapy if their knowledge base is improved, and they will use it more frequently. With a growing international consensus that musculoskeletal pain is a multidimensional disorder involving a complex interaction of factors across the biopsychosocial spectrum that is resistant to change, researchers are increasingly encouraging clinicians to use both specific and nonspecific aspects of treatment to improve outcomes.
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